



3. Symposium programme in general	n=85	good 5 4 3 2 1 poor	<b>Average 4,07</b>
a) timetable	n= 97	good 5 4 3 2 1 poor	<b>Average 4,09</b>
b) scientific standard	n=96	good 5 4 3 2 1 poor	<b>Average 3,85</b>
c) proceedings book	n=95	good 5 4 3 2 1 poor	<b>Average 4,31</b>
4. Which subject would you have wanted to learn more about? ...and less?			
5. Symposium posters in general	n=87	good 5 4 3 2 1 poor	<b>Average 3,52</b>
6. Commercial exhibition in general	n=95	good 5 4 3 2 1 poor	<b>Average 3,94</b>
7. Outdoor demonstration of CBRN activities & equipment	n=91	good 5 4 3 2 1 poor	<b>Average 4,46</b>
8. Symposium venue	n=93	good 5 4 3 2 1 poor	<b>Average 4,10</b>
9. The Get-Together party and Symposium dinner	n=83	good 5 4 3 2 1 poor	<b>Average 3,73</b>
11. How well did NBC 2006 meet your expectations?	n= 94	good 5 4 3 2 1 poor	<b>Average 4,10</b>
12. Should we continue arranging this symposium?	n= 94		
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes = <b>100 %</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> No = <b>0 %</b>			
13. Further comments on the NBC 2006 Symposium			